200

BURN, CROFT & PUTZELL

TTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW ONE MERCANTILL CENTER-SUITE BROO

37 100 8, 11**880031 6**0 01

(3 4) #2 -8576 TELECOPY (3 4) #21-20#6 3.8 SOUTH LUINGS STREET BE LEVILS LUINGS BREEGE 63 (Fig. 477) DEG

99 G. G. GAGGER

February 15, 1991

. ∺೯೯೬ - ೨೪೯೬೨೮**೪**

Ceven Biegel
Coansel
Cossistant Regional Coansel
C.S. Environmental Protection Agency
SCS-TUB-3
230 South Dearborn
Chicago, Illinois 60604



Re: Carve-Out Language

.ear Mr. Siegel:

Below is the language we discussed pertaining to the slarve Out proposal. We are working up a more complete framework which embodies both this language and the Decrae that will be delivered to you tomorrow. It might make more sense to simply incorporate these changes into the Decree rather than use the addendum format.

There may need to be some additional language of calbut the attached certainly gets you what we discussed. Exhibits 3 and C can be worked out as part of our additional discussion. We have given you our proposed Exhibit A such that also need some additional clarification. We can do that a canday, if necessary.

All of the above and the enclosure is intended for you to put something together for your management. We have not had a chance to review this with our various managements or with many of the PRFs.

The paragraphs that are numbered are intended to a low you follow paragraph references in the various clauses.

Very traly yours

Joseph G. Nassit

JGN/Ch/55444-100 Enclosure

REVISIONS TO CONSENT DECREE

ANGES -

Whereas the Settling PRPs are agreeable to implementing a portion of the Scope of Work ("SOW") adopted by U.S. EPA in the ROD as set forth in Appendix 2 to this Decree, said portion hereafter referred to as the "Customer Portion"; and

Whereas the Settling PRPs have made a good faith offer in response to the outstanding unilateral administrative order issued by the U.S. EPA under §106 of CERCLA;

- CHANGE TO PURPOSE SECTION -

The purpose of this Decree is to set forth the terms and conditions under which the Settling PRPs can satisfy their obligations under this Decree by performing the Customer Fortion of the Scope of Work ("SOW") for the Facility, to remove the Settling PRPs from the provisions of the November 27, 1990, Unilateral Administrative Order issued by the U.S. Mavironmental Protection Agency ("U.S. EPA") under Section 106 of CERCLA, and, to assure that the Settling PRPs are adequately protected in light of their undertaking to perform the Customer Portion of the SOW.

- ADDITIONAL DEFINITIONS -

"Oversight Costs" means any direct costs not inconsistent with the National Contingency Plan, actually incurred and paid by the U.S. EPA and the State of Illinois, in monitoring the compliance of the Settling PRPs with this Decree, including but

contractor costs, sampling and laboratory costs,

and : Out excluding indirect costs and any and all interest that accrues prior to the time that this decree is entered.

"Settling PRPs" means the persons, entities, or companies who become parties to the provisions of the Decree within sixty (60) days of the documents being filed with the Court, but does not include Owner Settling PRPs as defined in the Decree.

- CHARGES TO GENERAL PROVISIONS -

Commitment of Settling PRPs to Perform SOW.

Settling PRPs agree jointly and severally to finance and perform the work as defined in Exhibit A, which reflects 35% of the costs of the work contemplated under the SOW, and to commence said work at the time the Decree is filed with the Court. The commitment of the Settling PRPs to perform the work set forth in Exhibit A shall be null and void in the event that the owner/operator defendants become parties to this Decree and Addendum within the sixty (60) day period as set forth in paragraph 5. Following completion of the RD, certain modifications of the work to be performed by Settling PRPs may be required. Settling PRPs shall revise Exhibit A, if necessary, following completion of the RD, consistent with the provisions of this Decree, and subject to the agreement of the U.S. EPA. In no event shall the work performed by Settling PRPs exceed 35% of the SOW. Any modification to Exhibit A shall be mutually agreed to by the parties. The Unilateral Administrative Order relating to this Facility is modified as

SENT BY: Continue for the fire of the 1 2-12-11 to 5 - 1-4 to

to any %; pling PRPs who are hereby removed from the scope and authority of the Order.

b. Consistent with the provisions set forth in Section X (Site Access) and Section XIII (Force Majeure) of the Decree, if access to the Facility or other areas where work is to be performed hereunder is not obtained, despite the best efforts of the Settling PRPs, the United States will thereafter assist the Settling PRPs in obtaining access, to the extent necessary, to perform the work. The United States' costs in this effort and any compensation paid to the property owner shall be considered costs of response, but shall not be reimbursed by Settling PRPs to the extent these costs relate to access to property controlled by the owner/operator defendants.

CHANGE TO: Computation of Time.

Any deadlines pertaining to implementation of the Customer Portion of the SOW are set forth in Exhibit B.

CHANGE TO: Conveyance of the Facility and Institutional Controls.

Paragraph 9 of the Docree, including all subparts thereof, is neither binding nor applicable to the Settling PRPs.

REVISIONS RE: Participation.

The parties agree that upon the filing of the Decree with the Court, all potentially responsible parties ("PRPs") with respect to this Facility will have a period of sixty (60) days

SENT BY:Coburn - Flore - Flore - Fig. - Fib-97 - Filler - Fiber - Fibe

within which to execute the Decree. Following this sixty (60) day period, any non-settling PRP must comply with the provisions of this Decree, in particular paragraph 10, as a condition to entering into a consent decree, consent judgment, or settlement with the U.S. EPA as to this Facility. In no event shall the owner/operator defendents perform less than 65% of the Sow.

REVISION: Inconsistent Terms.

The terms and conditions of this addendum govern over any and all other agreements or orders, including the Decree.

REVISION: PERFORMANCE OF THE WORK BY SETTLING PRPS

The U.S. MPA and State agree that the work set forth in Exhibit A, if properly performed, is in accordance with Section 121 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9621, and with the National Contingency Plan ("NCP"), consistent with paragraph 78 of the Consent Decree.

CHANGE: Certification of Completion of Remedial Action.

Paragraph 85 of the Decree is stricken by this Addendum and the following is substituted:

a. Application. When the Settling PRPs believe that the work to be performed in Exhibit A has been completed and that a demonstration of compliance with Cleanup and Performance Standards has been made in accordance with this Decree, they shall submit to the United States and the State a Notification

155360061# 7

of Completion of the Customer Portion of the Work and a final report which summarizes the work done, any modification made to the SOW or Work Plan(s) thereunder relating to the cleanup and Performance Standards, and data demonstrating that the Cleanup and Performance Standards have been achieved.

b. Certification. Upon receipt of the Notice of Completion, U.S. EPA shall review the final report and supporting documentation, and the remedial actions taken. U.S. EPA, in consultation with the State, shall issue a Certification of Completion upon a determination that Settling PRPs have completed the work set forth in Exhibit A and demonstrated compliance with Cleanup and Performance Standards, and that no further corrective action is required by the Settling PRPs.

REVISION: MODIFICATION OF THE ROD OR SOW

SENT BYTTE TO STANK TO SET THE WESTERN TO

- 8. Once the RD is complete and the final version of Exhibit A has been agreed upon by the parties, the Settling PRPs will have no responsibility or liability for any additions or changes to the ROD or SOW, subject only to the reopener provision contained in this Addendum.
- 9. Any change or modifications to the ROD or SOW which reduces the overall cost of the Remedial Action taking place at the Facility shall cause a commensurate reduction in the Customer Portion of the Work as set forth in Exhibit A. Should this adjustment not be possible, either in whole or part, at the time of the change or modification, then the Settling PRPs

ENT BY/Copumny () to the second of zmlo or or its me

shall be entitled to reimbursement from the non-settling PRPs, consistent with the terms and conditions of this Addendum.

VI. STIPULATED PENALTIES, REIMBURSEMENT, AND PREMIUMS

- 10. Penalties for Settling and Non-Settling PRPs.
- a. Section XVII and all paragraphs thereunder of this Decree are replaced by Exhibits A and B. Exhibit B sets forth any and all penalties to be incurred in the event of untimely performance by the Settling PRPs.
- b. Any PRP who signs this Decree or otherwise settles with the U.S. EPA after the sixty day period, is subject to the provisions of this subparagraph (b). Such settlement or execution shall be conditioned on the payment by that PRP of its volumetric share of the Customer Portion of the Work, plus interest to date on any unpaid portion due and owing, and, a premium. All PRPs subject to this subparagraph (b) shall pay the premium as calculated in Exhibit C, which premium shall be based upon a percentage of the orphan share of the Customer Portion of the Work. All moneys collected pursuant to this subparagraph (b) shall go to the Settling PRPs.
- c. Nothing herein shall be deemed in any way to limit, bar, or restrict any claim, defense, action, penalty, or remedy whatsoever which the U.S. EPA, the State, or the Settling PRPs may have against any person not a party to the agreement.
- d. As to the Settling PRPs, natural resources damages shall be considered a covered matter, under paragraph 66 of the Consent Decree.

VII. FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY AND DEMINIMUS DEFENDANTS

- 11. Settling PRPs shall provide financial security, in the amount of \$10,000,000 in one of the forms permitted under 40 C.F.R. 264,145, to assure completion of the Customer Portion of the Work at the Facility. Other than penalties recovered by the U.S. EPA or the State, any and all moneys collected from non-owner/operator PRPs shall be applied to the Customer Portion of the Work at the Facility.
- 12. The U.S. EPA agrees to assist the Settling PRPs in securing participation in the Customer Portion of the Work from those PRPs who did not receive the §106 order.

VIII. FAILING OWNER/OPERATOR DEFENDANTS

13. To the extent that the owner/operator defendants or their seccessors are discharged in a proceeding under the U.S. bankruptcy laws for their liability under CERCLA for the Facility, and there is no other entity or corporation, pursuant either federal or state law, responsible for their portion of the work, as a result of any theories of succession, EPA reserves the right to seek from the Settling PRPs performance of such work.

EXHIBIT A

Carve-out '

Unit of Work	Cost (\$000)	Comments
Mului-layer Cap (Pile & Area	1; 712 \$1	233 Areas 1-8; FS esti
Indirect Capital Costs (45%) Contingency (25%) Engineer (15%) Legal (5%)	1,032	
Scttom Liger	133	FS adj esti
Indirect Capital Host (45%)	1.93	
SMAR Pile	109	FS esti
Indirect Capital Cost (45%)	158	
lontaired Drossed	6.5	ES esti
Indireum Capital Cost (45%)	9.4	
Arma 1	1,663	ROD esti
Indirect Capital Cost (45%)	2,411	
Remedia: Design Investigation	n 1,266	EPA/PRP Cor.
Additional Remedial Design Co	ost 476	FISH AST.
APA Past Costs	300	EPA en
Anow do do demo	2,190.3	3100K/22 yz
EloudeLead Survey	500	EPA est1
Other Coats	1,094	Revised est
Monitoring Wall	2.4	FS esti 1.8
Daed Restrictions	15	F\$ esti
Safety Program	40 300	FS esti 65
Mobilization Dust Control	400	re esti 40
Equip's Decon	200	FS esti 40
Off-site Drainage	25	rs esti
Fence	100	PRF esti
Indirect Capital Coot (45%)	1,586.3	

Baral

10,122.0

Note the RD cost estimate is < or > 10% of the \$ 28.9 modelies, value, work may be added to or deleted from the above units to point aim a 35% generator converses